



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•				
APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,850 07/26/2		7/26/2001	Mamoru Higashimura	33828	7188	
116	7590	11/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PEARNE			FLETCHER	FLETCHER, JAMES A		
	°9TH STRE	EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 120	SUITE 1200				TALER NOMBER	
CLEVELA	ND, OH 4	4114-3108	2616			
		DATE MAIL ED: 11/17/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
09/915,850	HIGASHIMURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James A. Fletcher	2616		

zororo uno r ming or am rippour zino.	Examiner	Art Unit						
	James A. Fletcher	2616						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complicing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rej	TE below);						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	·						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N Id sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatic REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)						
, ·								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In re page 2, Applicant's Representative states: "Navco does not teach creating any signal comprised of a series of frames as recited in the claims."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Navco clearly and distinctly discloses the creation of a signal output of the frame switcher for recording, as well as for viewing on the monitor, as shown in the 1700 System Controller Operating Instructions, Pages 6 and 8.

Further in re page 2, Applicant's Representative states: "There are no frames that are a part of a multiplexed signal that are skipped, recited in the claims."

Again, the Examiner Respectfully disagrees. Navco clearly and distinctly discloses the display of only frames from a specified camera in a multiplexed signal as shown in the SRT Chapter, Page 1, thereby skipping all frames from non-selected cameras in the multiplexed signal. In re page 3, Applicant's Representative states: "the Examiner has not provided the proper motivation for modifying the reference." The Examiner is unsure of which modification the Applicant's Representative is referring to, or what action is expected of the Examiner. While several cases of official notice exist in the rejection, these are based on common sense and widely understood principles of mathematics.

The Examiner also notes that the "antecedent basis" 112 rejection of claim 9 has not been addressed by the Applicant'.

James J. Groody
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 262-27-47